

#### 2019 Cambridge Particle Meeting

June 28th, 2018 | The University of Cambridge, UK

Field investigations for evaluating air pollution exposure of in-pram babies compared to adults in roadside environment

Authors : <u>Ashish Sharma (*PhD Researcher*</u>), Prof Prashant Kumar

University of Surrey, United Kingdom



#### Contents



- Motivation and background
- Aims and Objectives
- Literature review findings
- Methodology field experimental design and instrumentation
- Results
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgements

### Motivation



UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

 UK UNICEF Analysis (UNICEF 2017)

6.5 million people die annually due to poor air quality

- Air pollution greatest risk to human health in UK
- 4th greatest threat to public health after cancer, heart disease and obesity

- Young children at highest risk.
- 17 million babies living in severely affected areas (air pollution exceeding international limits by 6 times)

- UK UNICEF Analysis (UNICEF 2018)
- 1 in 3 babies growing in UK with unsafe levels of particulate matter – nearly 270,000 babies under the age of 1 in the UK
- 1.6 million under-fives are growing in UK with unsafe levels of particulate matter one third of all 0-5 year olds in the UK

## Background (1)



**UNIVERSITY OF SURREY** 

• How in-pram babies are exposed to air Pollution in roadside environments ?



# Background (2)



#### UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

 Health effects of traffic-related air pollution (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>) on young children

Young children are more vulnerable to the health effects of traffic-related air pollution (TRAP) such as particulate matter  $\leq 10 \ \mu m \ (PM_{10}), \leq 2.5 \ \mu m \ (PM_{2.5})$  and oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_x)^1$ 

Positive correlations are reported between measured levels of TRAP exposure (e.g.,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $No_x$ ) and asthma development in young children<sup>4</sup>

 Long-term exposure of Black Carbon reduces cognitive function among young children.  Negative characteristics of UFPs<sup>2</sup> impacting public health -



 Children more vulnerable to higher breathing rates and dose intake<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bates, 1995, Giles et al., 2011; Harrison and Yin, 2000; Heal et al., 2012, Janssen et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2013, Morgan et al., 1997, Sydbom et al., 2001; Watt et al., 1995, World Health Organization, 2005, WorldHealthOrganization, 2011: <sup>2</sup>Sioutas et al., 2005, Kumar et al., 2010, Branco et al., 2014, Donaldson et al., 2005; <sup>3</sup>Buonanno et al., 2013, Burtscher and Schüepp, 2012; <sup>4</sup>Iskandar et al., 2011, Khreis et al., 2017, WorldHealthOrganization, 2011; <sup>5</sup>Suglia et al., 2007



The overall goal of this project is to critically assess the comparative exposure levels of in-pram babies and to develop a conceptual framework with recommendations for mitigation of in-pram babies



## Classification of baby prams



UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

Travel systems can be divided based on two important criteria :

 (i) number of wheels (3-wheelers, and 4-wheelers) and
 (ii) seating capacity (one baby versus two babies).



- Pram widths
   0.56 ~ 0.82 m
- Top handle height ~ 1.25 m
- Breathing height 0.55 ~ 0.85 m

# Exposure levels of in-pram babies

#### GLOBAL CENTRE FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

#### UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

- In-pram baby exposure concentrations are affected by –
- Concentration decay with height
- Proximity with the roadways
- Location of the vehicle tailpipe close to the passenger side
- Duration of exposure measurement



### Flow around baby prams



UNIVERSITY OF SURREY









Roadside



**Single Pram Facing** Roadside





X



11

- Measurements performed for 2 different heights
  - In-pram baby breathing height (i.e., 0.7m) and;
  - Adult breathing height (i.e., 1.7m)

| Instrument (Model)                                                  | Pollutant Measured                       | Sampling Frequency/Resolution                                                            |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| GRIMM Aerosol Spectrometer<br>(EDM 107, GRIMM<br>Technologies Inc.) | Particles (size range 0.25-32 μm)        | 6 seconds                                                                                |  |  |  |  |
| P-Trak Ultrafine Particle Counter<br>(Model 8525, TSI Inc., USA)    | Particles (size range 0.02 to 0.1<br>μm) | 6 seconds                                                                                |  |  |  |  |
| Q-trak<br>(Model 7575, TSI Inc., USA)                               | Carbon Monoxide (CO)                     | 10 seconds                                                                               |  |  |  |  |
| Microaeth<br>(Model MA 200 and Model AE51)                          | Black Carbon (BC)                        | 10 seconds                                                                               |  |  |  |  |
| JEOL SEM equipped with EDS (Model JSM-7100F, Japan)                 | SEM and EDS Analysis                     | Spatial resolution (depending on<br>the sample) of 1.2 nm at 30 kV<br>and 3.0 nm at 1 kV |  |  |  |  |
|                                                                     |                                          |                                                                                          |  |  |  |  |

### Summary of datasets



#### UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

| Scenarios                                | Measurement types                                                     | Measurement<br>time | # of Single runs<br>completed | Time (mins)             | Distance<br>Covered (kms) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| SP <sub>1</sub> (SP <sub>FP-WC</sub> )   | Single Pram (facing parent-without cover)                             | AM<br>PM            | 6<br>4                        | 375.34<br>262.63        | 12.6<br>8.4               |
| SP <sub>2</sub> (SP <sub>FP-C</sub> )    | Single Pram (facing parent-with cover)                                | AM<br>PM            | 6<br>4                        | 341.81<br>239.65        | 12.6<br>8.4               |
| SP <sub>3</sub> (SP <sub>NF-WC</sub> )   | Single Pram (non-facing parent - without cover)                       | AM<br>PM            | 6<br>8                        | 352.48<br>459.85        | 12.6<br>16.8              |
| SP <sub>4</sub> (SP <sub>NF-C</sub> )    | Single Pram (non-facing parent - with cover)                          | AM<br>PM            | 4<br>4                        | 191.68<br>245.08        | 8.4<br>8.4                |
| SP <sub>5</sub> (SP <sub>NF-BB</sub> )   | Single Pram (non-facing parent - without cover –<br>Clean Air Device) | AM<br>PM            | 8<br>8                        | 477.75<br>448.35        | 16.8<br>16.8              |
| SP <sub>6</sub> (SP <sub>NF-C-BB</sub> ) | Single Pram (non-facing parent with cover - Clean<br>Air Device)      | AM<br>PM            | -<br>4                        | -<br>236.68             | -<br>8.4                  |
| DP <sub>7</sub> (DP <sub>SP-WC</sub> )   | Double Pram<br>(stacked parallel -without cover)                      | AM<br>PM            | 8<br>6                        | 428.64<br>341.37        | 16.8<br>12.6              |
| DP <sub>8</sub> (DP <sub>SP-C</sub> )    | Double Pram<br>(stacked parallel – with cover)                        | AM<br>PM            | 5<br>8                        | 286.43<br>422.06        | 10.5<br>16.8              |
| Total                                    |                                                                       |                     | 89 single runs                | 2705 mins<br>(45 hours) | km                        |

Note\* AM=Morning; PM=afternoon; *Measurement period*: 29 days (6 August 2018 to 4<sup>th</sup> December 2018) for 5 days

per week





**GCARE** 





















- Babies exposure to traffic emissions damages frontal lobe, cognitive thinking and brain development.
- Classification of baby prams revealed first 1m above the road level (height of vehicle tailpipe) is critical for exposure assessment of in-pram babies, e.g., in-pram babies breathing vary height between 0.55 m and 0.85 m above the ground level and they could receive up to ~60% higher exposure than adults.
- Factors such as meteorological conditions (e.g., wind speed and direction), the built-up features along the roadside and traffic volume crucially affect the dilution, dispersion and exposure of pollutants inprams.
- Passive control strategies (e.g., facemask and roadside green barriers) are effective in mitigating exposure concentrations of in-pram babies.
- Fine particle concentration exposures are substantially higher at pram height compared to adult height and pram height exposures exceeds adult height by as much as 44%. However, BC and coarse particle showed mixed trends.
- Concentration exposures vary with type of prams, such as, single pram facing parent and facing roadside and also with different sitting heights in double pram viz., bottom seat versus top seat.
- Finally, for mitigation approach, pram covers are effective at mitigating concentration exposures of both fine particles and PNCs while might have reverse effect on coarse particle and BC concentration exposures.

#### References



- 1. Abhijith, K. V., Kumar, P., Gallagher, J., McNabola, A., Baldauf, R., Pilla, F., ... & Pulvirenti, B. (2017). Air pollution abatement performances of green infrastructure in open road and builtup street canyon environments–A review. Atmospheric Environment 162, 71-86.
- 2. Bates, D.V., 1995. The effects of air pollution on children. Environmental health perspectives 103, 49.
- 3. Buonanno, G., et al. (2013). "Children exposure assessment to ultrafine particles and black carbon: The role of transport and cooking activities." Atmospheric Environment 79(Supplement C): 53-58.
- 4. Burtscher, H. and K. Schüepp (2012). "The occurrence of ultrafine particles in the specific environment of children." Paediatric Respiratory Reviews 13(2): 89-94.
- 5. Buzzard, N.A., Clark, N.N., Guffey, S.E., 2009. Investigation into pedestrian exposure to near-vehicle exhaust emissions. Environmental Health 8, 13.
- 6. Carpentieri, M., Kumar, P., Robins, A., 2011. An overview of experimental results and dispersion modelling of nanoparticles in the wake of moving vehicles. *Environmental Pollution* 159, 685-693.
- 7. Donaldson, K., et al. (2005). "Combustion-derived nanoparticles: a review of their toxicology following inhalation exposure." Particle and fibre toxicology 2(1): 10.
- 8. Iskandar, A., et al. (2011). "Coarse and fine particles but not ultrafine particles in urban air trigger hospital admission for asthma in children." Thorax: thoraxjnl-2011-200324.
- 9. Garcia-Algar, O., Canchucaja, L., d'Orazzio, V., Manich, A., Joya, X., Vall, O., 2015. Different exposure of infants and adults to ultrafine particles in the urban area of Barcelona. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 187, 4196.
- 10. Harrison, R.M., Yin, J., 2000. Particulate matter in the atmosphere: which particle properties are important for its effects on health? Science of the Total Environment 249, 85-101.
- 11. Heal, M.R., Kumar, P., Harrison, R.M., 2012. Particles, air quality, policy and health. Chemical Society Reviews 41, 6606-6630.
- 12. Janssen, N.A.H., Gerlofs-Nijland, M.E., Lanki, T., Salonen, R.O., Cassee, F., Hoek, G., Fischer, P., Organization, Copenhagen. Available online : https://europepmc.org/articles/pmc3261976
- 13. Kenagy, H.S., Lin, C., Wu, H., Heal, M.R., 2016. Greater nitrogen dioxide concentrations at child versus adult breathing heights close to urban main road kerbside. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health 9, 589-595.
- 14. Khreis, H., Kelly, C., Tate, J., Parslow, R., Lucas, K., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., 2017. Exposure to traffic-919 related air pollution and risk of development of childhood asthma: A systematic review and 920 meta-analysis. Environment International 100, 1-31.
- 15. Kumar, P., Rivas, I., Sachdeva, L., 2017. Exposure of in-pram babies to airborne particles during morning drop-in and afternoon pick-up of school children. *Environmental Pollution* 224, 407-420.
- 16. Sharma, A., Kumar, P., 2018. A review of factors surrounding the air pollution exposure to in-pram babies and mitigation. Environmental International (under review).
- 17. Sioutas, C., et al. (2005). "Exposure assessment for atmospheric ultrafine particles (UFPs) and implications in epidemiologic research." Environmental Health Perspectives 113(8): 947.
- 18. Suglia, S.F., Gryparis, A., Wright, R.O., Schwartz, J., Wright, R.J., 2007. Association of black carbon 1063 with cognition among children in a prospective birth cohort study. American Journal of 1064 Epidemiology 167, 280-286.
- 19. Sydbom, A., Blomberg, A., Parnia, S., Stenfors, N., Sandström, T., Dahlen, S.E., 2001. Health effects of diesel exhaust emissions. European Respiratory Journal 17, 733-746.
- 20. UNEP (2017). Towards a Pollution-Free Planet Background Report. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya.
- 21. UNICEF (2017). Danger in the air: How air pollution can affect brain development in young children. New York, NY 10017.
- 22. Watt, M., Godden, D., Cherrie, J., Seaton, A., 1995. Individual exposure to particulate air pollution and its relevance to thresholds for health effects: a study of traffic wardens. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 52(12), 790-792.
- 23. World Health Organization, W., 2005. Effects of air pollution on children's health and development: a review of the evidence. Available online : http://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0010/74728/E86575.pdf (accessed June 2018)
- 24. WorldHealthOrganization (2011). "Summary of principles for evaluating health risks in children associated with exposure to chemicals."

### Acknowledgements..



UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

Thanks to our research sponsors...









- Professor Prashant Kumar & Prof John F. Watts
- ESPRC/University of Surrey ORS and BRIZI Funding
- GCARE team
- University of Surrey's Department of Civil & Environment Engineering team

#### Contact: a.sharma@surrey.ac.uk, p.kumar@surrey.ac.uk

## Thank you ....



UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

#### International Media Coverage of Project *MAPE* (*Mitigating Air Pollution Exposure of In-pram Babies*)



Further information about MAPE project, visit: https://gtr.ukri.org/projects?ref=studentship-1948919

## visit: surrey.ac.uk/gcare













**GCARE** 





**GCARE** 

















































Note<sup>\*</sup> Correlation coefficient between new p-trak and old-ptrak is high ( $R^2 = 0.97$ )

Note\* Correlation coefficient between new Microaeth (MA 200) and old-Microaeth (AE) is high ( $R^2 = 0.85$ )

**Results** :  $PM_x$  in double pram top vs. bottom Seat  $\sqrt{}$ 









