

#### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

# Investigation on PM Emissions of a Light Duty Diesel Engine with 10% RME and GTL Blends

#### Hongming Xu Jun Zhang

University of Birmingham *Philipp Price* Ford Motor Company

International Particle Meeting, Cambridge University 21 May 2010

#### **Presentation Outline**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Experimental System
- 3. Results and Discussion
  - Effect of 10% RME and GTL blends
  - Effect of Injection strategy
- 4. Conclusions

# 1. Introduction

### Background



### Development of legislations g/km \*#/km

Tier	Date	СО	NO <sub>x</sub>	HC+NO <sub>x</sub>	PM	PN*
Euro 4	Jan 2005	0.50	0.25	0.30	0.025	-
Euro 5	Sept 2009	0.50	0.18	0.23	0.005	6.0 x 10 <sup>11</sup>
Euro 6	Jan 2014	0.50	0.08	0.17	0.0045	6.0 x 10 <sup>11</sup>

Regulations (EC) No 715/2007 of the European Parliament and the Council, "Emissions-Light Duty Vehicles", Jul, 2009.

• Compared with Euro 4, Euro 5 confines the emissions further for carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and Particulates Matter (PM) and the latter two had a 28% and 80% reduction respectively.

• Particle number

#### Objective of the present study



# 2. Experimental System

### Test cell and the Ford Puma engine







Bore	86mm, 4 cylinders
Stroke	94.6mm
Compression Ratio	16.6
Engine Capacity	2198cc
Max Power	96KW (±5%)@3500rpm
Max Torque	310.0NM(±5%)@1600- 2500rpm
Injector type	Common Rail, Direct Injection

### Engine test rig layout



### **Exhaust Measurement**



Horiba MEXA 7100 DEGR



TSI SMPS 3936



AVL Smoke meter 415SG002

### Fuel properties

PROPERTY	UNIT	Diesel	RME	GTL Diesel
Ester content	% (m/m)	/	99.44	/
Density @ 15°C	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	834.9	883.3	781
Viscosity @ 40°C	mm2/s	2.87	4.441	3.1
Flash point	°C	68.5	171.5	91
Sulphur content	mg/kg	8.6	<3.0	<3.0
Carbon residue	% (m/m)	0.13	< 0.1	<0.3
Cetane number		51.1	51	77
Total contamination	mg/kg	6.0		
(particulate)			1.6	1.6
Lubricity	μm	402	/	612
Distillation (Initial Boiling	°C	181.3		
Point)			/	204
Aromatics	%,m	/	/	<0.1

### Engine Test Modes (A)

Mode	Engine Speed (rpm)	Torque (Nm)	Load (%)	EGR Valve Opening (%)
1	800	2.1	0.68	0
2	1800	30	9.68	30.91
3	1800	30	9.68	15.45
4	1800	30	9.68	0
5	1800	134	43.23	0
6	3100	35	11.29	18.18
7	3100	138	44.52	0
8	3100	230	74.19	0

### Engine Test Modes (B)

Mode	Engine Speed (rpm)	Main SOI (BTDC)	BMEP (bar)	EGR	
Idle	800	-1	0.68	NO	
Middle Speed/Load	1800	-2.69	5.2	YES	
High Speed/Load	2500	-2.69	7.0	NO	
Pilot Injection	0 (mm <sup>3</sup> /stroke)	1.5mm (mm <sup>3</sup> /stroke )	e 3(mm <sup>2</sup>	3(mm <sup>3</sup> /stroke)	
+5° CA		(b)	(	(e)	
Base	(a)	(c)		(f)	
-5° CA		(d)	(	(g)	

## 3. Test Results

#### A. With 10% RME and GTL blends

#### Particulate number and mean diameter



### Smoke



•The trend of variation of smoke is not quite the same as the particle numbers

#### Non-volatile particles



• The non-volatiles number reduction by the alternative fuel blends were all higher than the rates when thermo-dilution was not used

#### Particulate Size Distribution at 800 rpm, Idle

- Bimodal mode
- A general reduction of particles in different sizes
- Small peak at 20nm
   when RME10 was used



#### Particulate Size Distribution at 1800 rpm



#### Particulate Size Distribution at 3100rpm





- Particles numbers reduced by RME10 or GTL10
- Larger particles with the increase of the load

#### Particulate Size Distribution with different EGR





#### 1800 RPM

- More EGR, more nucleation particles
- some nucleation particles around
  10 nm might be reduced

#### Non-volatiles during Warming-up



### Particle morphology (1800rpm, 30Nm)



(a) Diesel magnification of 10000(b) Diesel magnification of 65000 (c) RME 10 magnification of 10000(d) RME magnification of 65000 (e) GTL10 magnification of 10000 (f) GTL10 magnification of 65000

### Summary and conclusions (A)

- RME10 and GTL10 can lead to a similar reduction in total particle numbers under various engine conditions but their influences to the particle mean diameters are not clear
- RME10 and GTL10 reduce the accumulation mode particles and some nucleation particles in the larger size range (>30nm); however, RME 10 could also increase those in the small size range under certain cases (<20nm).
- Particles from diesel combustion have more clusters than those from the RME10 or GTL10 and the primary particle size of all the three fuels is around 20-50 nm.
- At 1800rpm, the increase of engine load results in an increase of particle mean diameter and the reduction of particle numbers (differently at 3000rpm); the increase of either engine speed or EGR increases the particle numbers as well as the mean diameters
- Cold starts could result in much higher non-volatile particles in the nucleation mode.

#### B. Particles influenced by pilot injection

#### Effect of pilot injection

DN/DLogDp(Part./cm<sup>3</sup>)



Larger particles using pilot injection in idle/middle mode

#### Effects of pilot injection timing



• The advance of the pilot injection leads to a reduction of the particle numbers and mean diameters

#### Effect of pilot injection quantity



### Summary and conclusions (B)

• In the absence of EGR, pilot injection seems to help reduce particle numbers, as in the idle and high speeds. When EGR is applied, as in medium speed/load, the introduction and increase of pilot injection quantities increases both the particle number and mean diameter.

• The advanced timing of a higher radio of pilot injection tends to reduce the number and diameter of particles.

• The strategy of pilot injection influences the PM emissions from the pilot combustion and at the same time the main combustion through ignition delay. This effect is less significant with the increase of the engine load and with the advance of the pilot injection timing.

• It is expected that when the strategy of pilot injection is used for NOx and NVH reduction as for biodiesel, attention will be required to minimise its impact on PM emissions.

The authors gratefully acknowledge research funding from EPSRC under the grant EP/F061692/1 and TSB under the grant M0597H, industrial support from Jaguar Land Rover, Ford Motor Company and Shell Global Solutions, and contributions to the related research from the Birmingham University, Oxford University including colleagues and students who have worked with us. Thank you!